

TOWN OF ARCADIA

Trempealeau County



W26051 State Road 95, Arcadia Wisconsin 54612 • Phone and Fax (608) 323-3470
• Email: townofarcadia@triwest.net

Ronald Tuschner, Chairman (608) 323-3035

Ivan Pronschinske, Supervisor No. 2 (608) 323-7675

Michael Wineski, Supervisor No. 1 (608) 323-2333

Nancy Rohn, Town Treasurer (608) 323-7063

Town of Arcadia Minutes of Regular Board Meeting Monday, July 7, 2014 at 7:00 p.m. Corrected Minutes

The board meeting was called to order by Chairman Ronald Tuschner at 7:00 p.m. Open meeting certifications were met. Roll call was taken. Board members present: Chairman Ronald Tuschner and Supervisors Mike Wineski and Ivan Pronschinske. Also present were the attached list of residents.

R. Tuschner led the Pledge of Allegiance.

A motion was made by I. Pronschinske to adopt the agenda. It was seconded by M. Wineski with the amendment to delete item number 9 H. I. Pronschinske agreed to the amendment. The motion was carried unanimously with a voice vote.

A motion was made by I. Pronschinske and seconded by M. Wineski to approve the minutes of June 16, 2014. The motion was carried unanimously with a voice vote. A motion was made by I. Pronschinske and seconded by M. Wineski to approve the special board meeting minutes of June 19, 2014. The motion was carried unanimously with a voice vote. A motion was made by I. Pronschinske and seconded by M. Wineski to approve the closed session meeting minutes of June 23, 2014. The motion was carried unanimously with a voice vote.

A motion was made by M. Wineski and seconded by I. Pronschinske to approve the disbursements of July 7, 2014. The motion was carried unanimously with a voice vote.

At 7:05 p.m. R. Tuschner opened the floor to public comments for other topics that were not listed on the July 7, 2014 agenda. Henry Schultz asked for clarification of the residents' letter in item 9F. He will speak to that item later. Peter Jonas made comments and concerns on annexation. He publically stated that he is opposed to annexation proposals coming to our township for the following reasons: the decrease in tax base; if a mine annexes to the city and there are issues with the residents, there is no recourse; their elected officials are not accountable to us, so we are stuck with the consequences with no recourse; the annexations are a blatant end run around the process that is set up in our State; and if they cannot get through the town and county process, they do not have enough merit to be approved. Paul Winey echoed P. Jonas's comments on annexation stating that the Town is not living up to its full potential with the loss of property values to annexation of the Guza Mine. He spoke about his attendance at the City of Independence council meeting where they passed the pre-annexation agreement by a 5-0 vote; the clock is ticking on that; property value guarantees and road use agreements, which have been waived; he asked why the Board decided not to pursue property value guarantees; lost valuation protection; road use agreements; these things are worth pursuing with a request by the Department of Administration; we should fight since we don't have agreements with them as far as reimbursements; no guarantees with AllEnergy; and we are slowly giving the Township away. R. Tuschner gave the second and third call for comments. Since there were no further comments from the public, R. Tuschner closed the public comments at 7:10 p.m.

Mahutga Lane

Discussion was held about the Local Road Improvement Program; the \$13,000-\$15,000 reimbursement; 7 foot travel lane versus 8 or 9 foot; no longer being able to receive a variance, so it would have to be 9 foot and 18 foot top; the depth of the top and width of the shoulder and costs; previous projects; traffic and residences; and development requirements and the standards that have been set. Larry Soppa clarified the standards/specifications that he is required to meet in his development. Discussion continued on completion this year; right of way; and width. A motion was made by M. Wineski and seconded by I. Pronschinske to have a 20 foot top, 2 inch compressed blacktop, and a 1 foot shoulder. Discussion continued about previous deviations and the changes in specifications at the State. The motion was carried unanimously with a voice vote.

Lewis Valley Road: Blade Patch/Crack Filling

Discussion was held about the cracks and condition of the road. Mr. Baecker suggested blade patching and a single seal coat. M. Wineski asked if we could blade patch this year and seal coat next year. R. Tuschner had some quotes for Lewis Valley and Molitor Lane. Molitor Lane would be OK to seal coat. R. Tuschner stated that he thought it should be blade patched now. Discussion continued about co-aide roads and funding. A motion was made by I. Pronschinske and seconded by M. Wineski to blade patch this year and if there is time available to double seal coat. R. Tuschner amended the motion to add to it, if funds are available to double seal coat. This amendment was seconded by M. Wineski. The amended motion carried unanimously with a voice vote. The main motion as amended carried unanimously with a voice vote.

Tony George Line Fence Problems/Cattle Issues

The fence has been taken care of and the problem has been taken care of. A letter was received from Patricia Lembitz. T. George has his portion to be repaired also before cattle can be let in. The letter was dated June 30, 2014 and received July 3, 2014. No action was taken.

Superior Silica Road Use Agreement

Sharon Masek introduced herself and the project. She discussed the annexation to the City of Independence and the little pieces of Town of Arcadia roads that would be involved. There is a road use agreement in place for Cross Road and River Valley Road. The additional mileage would be 1.65 miles and involve Creek Road, Nicholls Lane, Bisek Road, Church Hill Road, and some of River Valley Road. She researched the average cost to maintain roads with General Transportation Aids, actual records from the Town of Dover and Long Lake, Wisconsin Department of Transportation, and WisDOT TRIP and STP programs for Town Roads. The Town of Arcadia would receive from Superior Silica Sands: \$34,071 per year for maintenance, upkeep and repairs. The complete proposed agreement is kept in the file in the office. R. Tuschner asked about the cost of blacktopping a mile of road. S. Masek averaged the cost over 20 years. R. Tuschner stated that we are starting with the current road, not a new road, and asked what would happen if a road would need re-building in 5-7 years. S. Masek stated that the costs are built in. Discussion continued about the re-building in less than 20 years, funding, and other road use agreements. He requested continuity and that the Town of Arcadia not be left holding the bag on a portion done in 3 years and waiting for the funds for the next 17 years. Other agreements stated that the mine would fork out the money, and the roads were also bonded. The 2 options were to pull money from the bond or ask the sand mine company to pay the money. We don't borrow money. S. Masek stated that this is not an issue; they would be responsible for it; and they would figure out a way to level out the payments. Discussion continued on costs to re-build roads. I. Pronschinske stated that he has no problem with the figures, but that Superior Silica Sands would be responsible for replacing the road to specifications. S. Masek asked if an agreement was still needed from the City of Independence. Yes, there would still need to be an intergovernmental agreement. S. Masek stated that they would not be driving on those roads. M. Wineski stated that they would only be driving on River Valley and Cross Roads and the \$34,071 would be for maintenance, upkeep, and plowing of the other roads (1.65 miles) in this agreement. R. Tuschner stated that he wants the Town of Arcadia covered. I. Pronschinske stated that the existing agreement will still stand as is. S. Masek agreed, because it is a separate issue. These are the roads that are just being brought in by the annexation. L. Soppa clarified the blacktop to be 3 inches since it is a commercial road. Andy Haines commented on the amount of sand and salt used depended upon the winter conditions. S. Masek talked about the draft on property value guarantee when they thought they were going through the County. They did not add this to the Township agreement to compile agreement upon agreement. They do have a property value guarantee with the City of Independence. The City of Independence expressed concerns with a town person living across from the mines, that the property value guarantee would not cover them, that is not what Superior Silica Sands intended, the guarantee would be written for all adjacent property owners to the mine, and not exclude any Town residents. A copy will be given to the Town of Arcadia board. I. Pronschinske asked if the building would be built yet this year. S. Masek hopes so. Andy Schultz asked about property damage or well problems and who pays. S. Masek stated that Superior Silica Sands pays for it. She explained that any problem should be presented to the sand company and talked about pre-inspections. Discussion continued on the process of finding out responsibility for the problem. Jan Bagniewski questioned who does the inspections. S. Masek explained that certified experts are hired. A. Schultz asked if this will be in writing: land values, damage, and property values. S. Masek stated that generally it is in the conditional use permit. R. Tuschner

clarified that the original conditional use permit with all of the Town of Arcadia conditions was submitted to Superior Silica Sands. The well inspections condition is included. M. Wineski asked if these conditions would stay after the annexation. S. Masek stated that she is still working on this with the City of Independence. The Town of Arcadia and the County conditions are attached to the draft. They have no problems complying with all of those conditions. R. Tuschner told the Mayor of Independence that there was no give on keeping the original conditions. Ray Kamrowski spoke about his Culligan water test and that the sand mine affected his well. Discussion continued on the process of reporting his problems. S. Masek suggested contacting the sand company involved and take his proof along. Darlene Rossa stated that everyone should have a copy of their well inspections. When a sand company comes in they send people out and give you a copy of the inspection. R. Kamrowski stated that no one ever did a well inspection for him. D. Rossa stated that he was either missed or there was no inspection required in the permit. Discussion continued about the typical practice. Mary Frisch asked about the property value guarantee and what it is. S. Masek explained that it is any resident property adjacent to a mine, a certain time period, applies to the first person living there at the time the mine was established, based on 2 appraisals, listing of the property for 6 months, after that 6 months the mine buys the property, and possible payment of the difference if the property sold for less than the appraisal. M. Frisch asked about people outside of the area that has the guarantee, but is still affected by the mine. S. Masek stated that it depends upon the effects and the problem. She stated that from her experience some property values have gone down, but others have gone up. Paul Winey asked how many residents in the Township of Arcadia would be affected by the border of property guarantees and where that is listed. S. Masek stated that it says that they will guarantee property values of any property adjacent the mine owners; there is no definition specifically for that, the damage is in the other conditional use permit. P. Winey asked if it is tied into the road use agreement. S. Masek stated it was in the beginning, but if that were the case it would only affect that narrow band along there. We assume that this will be part of the conditional uses with the County, but we aren't going to the County; the City of Independence, but we are still working this out; they did specifically state this tonight; it is in the document you just approved, so it is in there. If you would feel more comfortable, I will submit one to the Township. S. Masek stated that it would be silly to cover one city, but not the other town. P. Winey stated that it depends on what the City of Independence decides. S. Masek stated that the City of Independence is doing a very good job. Chuck Johnson thought that they were permitted at the County. S. Masek stated, no. The Guza Mine, a small area is permitted through the County, but the addition of the dry plant is not. C. Johnson asked for the location of the building. S. Masek explained where the building will be located. R. Tuschner would like to see that in writing. S. Masek stated that the road use agreement for the annexed roads looks OK, but you would like a statement that Superior Silica Sands is responsible for re-building those specific sections of the road, if necessary. R. Tuschner stated that according to Town of Arcadia specifications should also be added. I. Pronschinske stated that future owners should also be added. M. Wineski wanted clarification that we want them to replace the road that they aren't using; they are giving the money to maintain; if the roads get busted up, that is for the City of Independence; this is for maintenance and care of the roads. R. Tuschner stated that the City of Independence should be responsible for the re-build, if necessary, of that section, in conjunction with the Town of Arcadia. M. Wineski asked if the \$34,000 wasn't built in for maintenance of those roads; the overlay costs are included. S. Masek agreed that they were built in and referenced page 2. I. Pronschinske suggested that this be revisited every 5 years. S. Masek stated that this was not a problem, and they would be attending meetings periodically and giving an annual report. S. Masek stated that the first payments would probably begin in January. R. Tuschner wanted clarification on the second to last paragraph; it does not state re-build. Re-build means to remove the old blacktop, replace a culvert, replace the base, cost of pavement bill, and overlay. It should say and/or replace. I. Pronschinske asked about bridges. There are none. This will be brought back at the next board meeting.

Request for Soppa Development's Road

Larry Soppa asked to go with a bond to blacktop later, because it is too wet to blacktop now. The rock and shoulders would be done. Discussion continued about waiting until next year to blacktop as has been done previously, the Town taking the road over when it is completed, permits, 12 additional lots, and road name: Amber Lane. A motion was made by M. Wineski and seconded by I. Pronschinske that the Town accept Amber Lane, crushed rock, and within 12 months it will be paved by the developer. R. Tuschner stated that L. Soppa and Amber Soppa complete the legal paperwork and deed the road over to the Town of Arcadia. Fire numbers would be given after that. An official survey map will be provided. Discussion continued on the specifications of the road and process. The motion was carried unanimously with a voice vote.

Town Residents' Letter

H. Schultz stated that a group wrote this letter to express their concerns, worries, and bewilderment as to why large tracts of land are so willingly relinquished to other local governments. They don't understand, and it has not been explained why it is in the Town's best interest as a whole to have its tax base reduced. It shifts the tax burden over to the remaining residents. There are legal questions as to whether the AllEnergy and Guza Mines conform to State law. They are very puzzled and want the Town Board to explain why this is happening. Why the Town is not putting up some kind of objection to what's happening. Marlys Kolstad asked if the letter could be read, so the rest of the people know what was in it. R. Tuschner advised that the Town will not be responding to the letter at this time, by advice from counsel. H. Schultz read the letter. A copy of the letter is in the file in the office. Sam Schultz stated

that you listened to counsel so that you don't respond to it, but won't seek counsel's recommendation on other times. Do you pick and choose? P. Jonas apologized for speaking during the public comments section, because he had not seen the letter. He commented that the conversation just held with Superior Silica Sands is a tremendous illustration as to why annexation is such a bad idea. These agreements with one municipality taking care of roads for another municipality and intergovernmental agreements; you are having a hard time keeping track of who is supposed to do what; multiply that by every mine that finds it advantageous to annex to a city; if a 3 person board needs to keep track and there are 7 or 8 mines; do you really want to be doing this with 7, 8, 9 agreements. No one will be able to keep track of that, and it creates a layer of complexity to an already complex situation. Everyone knows that the thing that is directing this is the mining companies want to make an end run around the existing regulations in the Town and County which are not that arduous to begin with. It would be in our and your self interest to manage this in a more efficient way. D. Rossa stated that the people involved in the annexation, their personal property, are not here; you are trying to make decisions for those people on their property; if you decided that you own a farm and want annexation and it is your property, you have that right; you can't tell me that I shouldn't have that right; that is my second amendment right; each of us owns our own property and we need to keep our nose in our own property; we always have consideration for our neighbors; most of the mines going in are very good at working with those around, not all of them are good, but you are making decisions for people that already made the decision to annex, and I don't think that is right, that is not fair. Jim Ziegeweid spoke about the zoning laws in the United States to protect the status quo. He gave examples. Wisconsin zoning regulations have been abused all around the State. He quoted Oliver Wendell Holmes. Good attorneys are hard to come by, because the sand mines have superior dollars to influence attorneys. You have to make decisions on what affects other people's lives more than you wish to do so, because of the smaller township. Jon Schultz stated that it gets to a question on what people do with their property; the permit process and permission; the authority granted by the people; it will never be simple and none of us has all the answers; the letter states that a lot of people are asking questions of the process, the reasoning, and the outcomes of these decisions; the job of the government is to preserve the status quo; if you make a major change you have to declare the positive outcomes for the greater good when you make the change for the community; what is the goal, the outcome; there are rumors; and he contacted the Federal authority to ask some questions; when he mentioned Arcadia the tone of conversation changed, and he had questions for me. P. Jonas reflected on his comments, he simply stated about private property rights. Donna Brogan spoke about the revision of the mining ordinance. Someone mentioned that if we allowed mines to annex to the cities; it would be the death of our towns. This is what is happening and she can't figure out why you are speeding up the process. You should be fighting the annexations as hard as we are. It doesn't make any sense. You are overseeing a town and overseeing its dismantling. P. Winey recalled similar comments made and that the reason for relaxing the ordinance was so that annexation would not occur. He asked in losing 1500 acres to annexation, the property value, how much taxation will we lose, and what will we gain back as a township to offset that. Where is the win in this. He asked if counsel advised the township to pursue getting an opinion from the Department of Administration. R. Tuschner stated that counsel advised not to respond. P. Winey asked who can make the response. R. Tuschner stated that you can get it from us when counsel gives the OK to respond to questions. He then read part of the letter from the Town's attorney. A copy of this letter is on file in the office. P. Winey asked if there was a time frame. R. Tuschner stated that there is no time frame on this. P. Winey stated that there is a narrow window upon when annexation is passed to pursue an opinion from the Department of Administration; will we see that window close while we are waiting for an opinion back; as taxpayers, how do we know that this is in our best interest when that 20 day window will open and close. R. Tuschner stated that he understood the frustration, but we are doing what we have been advised to do, and we are taking it seriously. M. Wineski stated that they will be notified that the annexation went through tonight; our attorney will be advised of the ongoing events with the mine. Ed Patzner asked how much more will be given away. R. Tuschner stated again that on advise of legal counsel he would not answer. Galen Mueller asked why legal counsel was not here today. R. Tuschner stated that he was not able to make it tonight to the meeting. H. Schultz asked who legal counsel was. R. Tuschner responded, Bruce Brovold. A. Schultz stated that as elected officials, you think you are doing the best for the Town or the City council is doing the best for the City, and that Independence is doing the best for Independence, but what is the reasons the elected officials are afraid to bring this to a referendum, put this to a vote. Do we have sand mines in Trempealeau County or don't we. What is blocking a referendum. P. Jonas spoke of the necessity of keeping national secrets in cases, but finds it extraordinary that we are dealing with secrets here in the Town of Arcadia, it is not a national security issue; it is a political issue. You are making political decisions and both sides of the issue are trying to influence the decisions. Will there be a time for explanations. How will you run for re-election, if you choose to do that, without being able to advocate for why you are making the decisions you are making. R. Tuschner stated that B. Brovold stated in his letter that he would not be able to respond to these matters before that date which is July 7. H. Schultz asked that if you can't communicate with the public at a meeting with us, your constituents, how can you represent us. Ask Bruce Brovold that too.

CORRECTION: J. Bagniewski asked how you can **look yourself in the mirror and** say this is **all OK in all** good conscience, **what you've done, all in good conscience** .

D. Brogan asked for the date of the letter from B. Brovold. R. Tuschner responded with July 2, 2014. D. Brogan suggested that next time you know that you won't be able to respond to questions that you could strike that item from the agenda and put it on the next meeting. R. Tuschner stated that M. Wineski took an item off the agenda tonight because he was not able to get it, but it was on it. I could have said the same thing at the beginning of the meeting and wouldn't have a different effect. The letter was not received in the

office until July 5; I did not get it until today; and the agendas were out already. Chuck Johnson spoke about the past and when you passed that resolution. Why did then the attorney said not to pass it. M. Wineski stated that that was also part of the letter. M. Kolstad asked for the letter from B. Brovold be read in its entirety. R. Tuschner read the complete letter. Sam Schultz asked as a reaction to that, if the board was surprised and did you submit a letter back asking when we can respond. R. Tuschner again stated that the letter was dated 7-2, received in the office 7-5, and I got it today/tonight; I did not have time to respond to it tonight. H. Schultz asked if there was a way to communicate to Bruce about the deadline; he didn't know what the pre-annexation agreement was about; but if we want an opinion or the Town wants an opinion from the Department of Administration on the proposed annexation of the Guza Mine to the City of Independence, is Bruce aware of the tight timeline, so we don't miss the deadline to get that opinion. R. Tuschner assumed that he was aware of it, and that we will apprise our attorney of what transpired at this meeting tonight. If he was here, he probably could answer some of this. D. Rossa stated that she was not that familiar with the Guza Mine area, but are any of the people here that are in on the annexation, that are going to be annexed into Independence, and own the property here. S. Masek stated that they were at the previous meeting. She was only here to discuss the payment of the roads. D. Rossa asked if, at that meeting, they had an issue with annexation. S. Masek stated, no, they are asking to go. P. Winey appreciated the civility of the people's comments and the Board for allowing the time for discussion. It may come as an issue of faith, because of past actions of this board, faith in the Board have been lost. We haven't seen what we feel demonstrated that is in the best interests of the Town. Yes, people want to be annexed into the City of Independence, voluntarily done that, but that leaves the remainder of us behind holding the bag. If the annexation of the mine goes through, there will be a rising in tax dollars. D. Rossa explained that the taxes on the mine almost triple. P. Winey stated that her portion in the Township would increase along with everyone. D. Rossa stated that as this Town shrinks, there will be more places like Larry is building and there will be more people living there; there will be more jobs. P. Winey appreciated that the Board was following the instructions of counsel, but unfortunately from our side of the table, it is a blind faith; we don't see what is being worked on the other side. You are asking for an incredible leap of faith and trust. We haven't seen it on the track record. I appreciate you adhering to the recommendations of making no comment, but hope that this is not being done to avoid potential litigation down the line. I really feel that it is a faith issue. R. Tuschner thanked him for his comment. There were no further comments.

Hall & Kitchen Floor Cleaning

M. Wineski asked for the costs for cleaning. The Clerk went to check the file for previous costs. The Board proceeded to the next item.

Employee Handbook Revision for 2014

M. Wineski is meeting with a representative from Westland Insurance on Wednesday. M. Wineski asked for a short break at 9:05.

At 9:11 the meeting returned to Hall & Kitchen Floor Cleaning

The Clerk read the last 2 charges which were \$528.00. Duane Kupietz suggested cleaning the floors twice a year with a lesser cost the second time. Discussion was held about the process, the condition of the hall floor, room use, and the need. A motion was made by I. Pronschinske and seconded by M. Wineski to hire Duane Kupietz to clean the floor at a cost not to exceed \$528.00. The motion was carried unanimously with a voice vote.

Reminder of Hot Topics Meeting on July 16, 2014 at 7:00 p.m. at the High School Room 219

R. Tuschner invited everyone to this meeting. Topics include long term care and things of that nature in our community. He had additional items to add to the agenda. J. Ziegeweid asked who was presenting. I. Pronschinske stated that it would be put on by the Arcadia Ambulance; there should be something in the paper; and he spoke about the current situation. J. Ziegeweid asked if this was an actionable meeting or just an informational meeting. I. Pronschinske stated that it would be informational and would like some guidance for the ambulance board and the plan for the next 5 years.

Lease with the Arcadia Ambulance Service Tenant

We have a 60 day agreement with Mayo Clinic. He referenced the agreement. A copy is in the office file. Discussion was held about security, looking for a place for the office and housing for employees, coverage area, per capita, upgrading to paramedics full time, change of stabilization procedures, physical therapy, heating, new clinic, no maintenance, ownership of the building and ambulance building, emergency centers and regulations on them, lost licenses of the nursing home, township property, library, and city hall. Again, R. Tuschner invited everyone to attend the July 16 meeting.

Discussion of Telephone System/Shop

The Clerk explained that the phone in the shop area was not working for the last few weeks. She contacted CenturyLink's repairman. He didn't know if the connection was made with the new line. There would be no cost to have CenturyLink to hook up the

shop. The only cost would be a new phone. The other option would be to have Mr. Koop come. Discussion continued about how long the phone has not worked. I. Pronschinske requested R. Tuschner to call Mr. Koop. Discussion continued on using CenturyLink or Mr. Koop. Cost was discussed. R. Tuschner was instructed to get the phone fixed.

Part-time Employee Wages

This has been discussed before, but is being discussed publically to prevent rumors. We currently have 2 people and 1 part-time employee that can come in when he is not working at his other job. R. Tuschner stated there is a discrepancy in the part-time and full-time employees. There is work to do, with only 2 men there is a loss of time of the grader waiting for someone; we need a third person to drive a truck. The part-time employee is getting \$14.55 per hour. If he is driving truck, he is asking for \$16.00 per hour. I. Pronschinske made the point that time is being wasted, and we are paying for that. R. Tuschner stated that things are not working properly without the third man. I. Pronschinske stated that he has no problem paying a licensed, experienced employee. A motion was made by I. Pronschinske and seconded by M. Wineski to pay \$16.00 per hour. R. Tuschner discussed part-time wages previously, to get through this period of time, until we get the third man hired, go back to the previous amount, this is only in emergency situations. He amended the motion to be temporary until we get the third full-time employee hired. I. Pronschinske seconded the amendment. M. Wineski asked if we are paying \$16.00 an hour for the next month or two and then cut the wage back to \$14.55. R. Tuschner related the discussion with the employee; if he is mowing lawn, that would be kept separate. He is happy with that salary for part-time; it is only when he is doing other jobs; he is requesting the \$16.00 per hour. M. Wineski stated it should be re-worded; to pay those jobs that wage and mowing lawn is \$14.55 an hour and everything else is \$16.00 per hour. That way the employee gets paid for what the job is. R. Tuschner withdrew his amendment. I. Pronschinske withdrew his second to the amendment. I. Pronschinske withdrew his motion and M. Wineski withdrew his second to the motion. A motion was made by M. Wineski and seconded by I. Pronschinske that the job for mowing lawn will pay \$14.55 per hour and any other part-time job will pay \$16.00 per hour (plowing snow, grading, truck driving, putting up signs, etc.). The motion was carried unanimously with a voice vote.

Petitions for Direct Annexation by Unanimous Approval

R. Tuschner read the petitions. A copy is in the office file.

Correspondence: Two upcoming public hearings have been posted: Gregory R. Armstrong on July 9, 2014 and FML Sand, LLC on July 16, 2014. I. Pronschinske stated that Soppa Road Bridge and Oak Ridge have been completed. R. Tuschner stated that contact has been made on an environmental enforcement. A motion was made by I. Pronschinske and seconded by M. Wineski to set a closed session for opening job applications for Friday, July 18, 2014 at 4:15 p.m. Motion was carried unanimously with a voice vote.

Discussion was held about the next meeting date and time. A motion was made by M. Wineski and seconded by I. Pronschinske to set the next regular Town of Arcadia Board meeting for Thursday, July 24, 2014 at 7:00 p.m. Motion was carried unanimously with a voice vote. Agenda items are on a list in the office.

R. Tuschner adjourned the meeting at 9:44 p.m.

Respectfully submitted by,

Beth Killian
Town of Arcadia Clerk